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Abstract
The main purpose of the company’s operation today is to maximize the value of the company. Corporate value is not only influenced by economic performance, but can come from performance derived from social activities. But in reality, it eventually leads to a conflict of interest that occurs within the company, so that necessary to implement mechanisms to reduce the conflict. The purpose of this research to provide evidence to determine effect of intellectual capital, corporate sustainability disclosure, and corporate governance to corporate values with company size and leverage as control variables. This research can provide benefits as knowledge related to how wide the company pursues intellectual capital, corporate social responsibility, and corporate governance in increasing the value of the company. This research samples is focused on state-owned enterprises listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange for period 2013 – 2016 with total 48 data used in this research. This research use multiple regression to test the hypothesis. The result of this research is intellectual capital and corporate sustainability disclosure have positively influence towards corporate values. Corporate governance has no influence towards corporate values. For control variables, company size has no influence towards corporate values and leverage has negatively influence towards corporate values.
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1. Introduction

Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014) stated the main purpose of the company’s operations is to maximize the value of the company. The value of the company becomes an indication of the company’s success rate in increasing investor investment confidence. This is reflected in the increase in the company’s stock price. Corporate value is strongly influenced by stock price movements arising from investment opportunities that investors expect (Kurniasari and Warastuti, 2015). For example, during 2016 PT Bank Mandiri Tbk recorded an increase in share price by 29% and an increase in market capitalization by 25%. Investors’ confidence in the company’s performance is due to its optimism that the company will increase its profitability and problem solving ratio of non-performing loans (Setiawan, 2017).

According to Kamath (2015), the value of the firm is not derived from financial assets and has a form, but can also come from other assets that have no form. The increasingly tight business competition and the rapid development of information technology economics require companies to start depending on the company’s ability to develop intangible assets (Berzkalne and Zelgalve, 2014). Intellectual capital becomes an important source of knowledge assets for the company to enhance the company’s competitive advantage in the future (Nuryaman, 2015).

The concept of sustainable development of improving the quality of life is the main focus of today’s countries
to reduce emissions and improve efficiency on energy consumption. One of the concrete evidence that has a major impact on the environment is the European Union that successfully implemented the development of environmentally friendly energy resources (Ghergina and Vintila, 2016). Corporate sustainability disclosure is the responsibility that companies must meet in terms of reporting that has shifted from a single bottom line focusing on raising corporate value from financial to a triple bottom line focusing on improving corporate value from financial, social and environmental conditions (Kurniasari and Warastuti, 2015).

In the process of maximizing corporate value, there is often a conflict of interest that occurs between the management that controls the company and the shareholders who own the company. This creates an agency fee that needs to be issued by shareholders for management to act in the interests of shareholders (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). One mechanism that companies can use to monitor relationships and lower agency costs is corporate governance. Corporate governance becomes the guiding structure in determining corporate objectives and monitoring the performance of management in implementing policies that facilitate the improvement of corporate value (Haryono and Paminto, 2015).

Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014) in his research proved that intellectual capital has a positive and significant effect on company value. Gherghina and Vintila (2016), Kurniasari and Warastuti (2015), Moeljadi (2014), and Putu et al (2014) prove that corporate sustainability disclosure has a significant effect on corporate value. Haryono and Paminto (2015) prove corporate governance does not affect the value of the company. Moeljadi (2014) and Putu et al (2014) prove that corporate governance has a positive effect on company value.

The motivation of this research is to determine whether or not there is influence of intellectual capital, corporate sustainability disclosure, and corporate governance to the value of the company with the current phenomenon. This study aims to prove empirically the influence of individually intellectual capital, corporate sustainability disclosure, and corporate governance on corporate value controlled by firm size and leverage. Based on this background, the authors examine the influence of intellectual capital, corporate sustainability disclosure, and corporate governance affect the value of the company with the title “The Influence of Intellectual Capital, Corporate Sustainability Disclosure, Corporate Governance, Corporate Value: Empirical Study on BUMN Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange.”

2. Theoretical Framework and Hypothesis Development

Theoretical Framework

Agency Theory

Any people as well as organization in the world need to have someone as a principal to run the company. But managers also have an interest to improve their own welfare. This has led to agency conflicts in companies that have led to opportunistic behavior of managers to gain personal gain. One of the methods used to monitor agency relations is through corporate governance mechanisms that can reduce the agency costs incurred by the company.

Stakeholder Theory

Haryono and Paminto (2015) argue that stakeholder theory is a business ethical theory that implements the principles and values in management which change from a traditional financial perspective to maximize shareholder value into stakeholder perspectives to maximize stakeholder value. Dewi and Monalisa (2016) stated that the company is required to carry out social responsibility activities that can legitimize the company’s actions in the community in carrying out its operations. Companies that disclose social responsibility information in full and have social activities will gain legitimacy from society that ultimately increases the value of the company.

The Value of the Company

The value of the company is reflected in the stock price which also reflects the reflection of the market value of the firm formed from the transaction between the buyer and the seller. The value of a company is a reflection of the true value of a company’s assets formed from the value of the indicators affected by the stock market investment opportunity. The existence of investment opportunities can provide a positive signal about future company growth that can increase shareholder value (Kurniasari and Warastuti, 2015).

Intellectual Capital

Steward (1997) in Nuryaman (2015) states that intellectual capital is an intangible asset owned by a company that can be knowledge, information, and experience owned by human resources company. Intellectual capital is divided into three dimensions, namely human capital in the form of intellectual capability, structured capital in the form of infrastructure that supports company performance or innovation to develop processes, products, and services, and external capital in the form of relationships with internal and external parties.

Corporate Sustainability Disclosure

Moeljadi (2014) states that corporate sustainability disclosure based on ISO 26000 is the responsibility of an organization or the influence of organizational decisions and activities that directly affect the social life of society and the environment. GRI (2013) provides globally relevant frameworks to support standardized approaches in reporting social responsibility information that encourages the level of transparency and consistency necessary to make the information conveyed to be useful and credible by markets and communities. In the GRI-G4 Guidelines, performance indicators are divided into 3 main components, namely economic, environmental, and social including employment practices and work convenience,
human rights, community, responsibility for products with total performance reaching 91 indicators.

**Corporate Governance**

OECD (2004) defines corporate governance as a system in which a company or business entity is directed and supervised by a structure that explains the distribution of rights and responsibilities of each party involved in a business. The following are the principles of OECD (2004) which underlie the measurement of the level of corporate governance implementation in the company.

1. Ensure an effective corporate governance framework
2. Shareholder rights and important shareholding functions
3. Equal treatment of shareholders
4. The role of stakeholders in corporate governance
5. Openness and transparency
6. Responsibility of board of commissioners and directors

**Leverage**

According to Nadeem, et.al (2016), leverage is the use of financial resources in the firm’s capital structure. Leverage is one of the sources of funding for companies in the form of debt (short term debt and long term debt) or capital (preferred stock and common stock). Leverage in a company is determined from optimal corporate capital structure policy in minimizing risk and cost.

**Company Size**

Company size describes how big or small a company is shown from total assets, total sales, total average sales, and total average assets. The size of the firm also shows the large amount of resources owned by the company and becomes an important indicator for investors and creditors in determining investment risk on capital invested into a company (Putu, et al, 2014).

**Pengembangan Hipotesis**

**Intellectual Capital Influence on Corporate Value**

Nuryaman (2015) states that in the application of intellectual resource management must create intellectual resources of capital, human resources, and structural capital employed that can be optimally utilized. These intellectual resources can ultimately help facilitate the company in the fulfillment of all stakeholder interests. Companies that have intellectual resources with high corporate share demand will increase the value of the company.

H1: Intellectual capital positively affects the value of the company

**The Effect of Corporate Sustainability Disclosure on Corporate Value**

Gherghina and Vintila (2016) states based on the theory of legitimacy, in carrying out its operations the company needs to pay attention to the interests of stakeholders. The availability of social responsibility information becomes the company’s need to meet the interests of stakeholders in providing support to the company. Companies that invest heavily in social responsibility activities can maintain a better corporate reputation resulting in increased corporate value.

H2: Corporate sustainability disclosure positively affects the value of the company

**The influence of Corporate Governance on Corporate Value**

Moeljadi (2014) declares corporate governance to be a system used to direct and manage the company’s operating activities that have a major impact in determining business objectives to achieve targets. Wardoyo and Veronica (2013) states that based on agency theory there can be a difference of interests between managers and shareholders that can lead to agency conflicts. In reducing the conflict of agencies that can arise, companies can run corporate governance to control the behavior of managers who can improve the performance and value of the company.

H3: Corporate governance positively affects the value of the company

**3. Research Method**

**Research Procedure**

The population of this study are all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange respectively from 2013-2016. The sample of this research is all state-owned enterprises of financial and non financial sector listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange respectively from year 2013-2016. The method of selecting the research sample used in this study using purposive sampling. The selection criteria of this research sample as follows.

2. Companies that issue financial statements at the end of the fiscal year 31 December from 2013-2016.
3. Companies that generate financial reports in rupiah currency from 2013-2016.

**Variable Operationalization**

**The value of the company**

Company value is measured using tobin’s q ratio introduced by James Tobin (1969) which can better explain the performance of a company. The ratio of tobin’s q in measurement is replicated from Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014) using the measurement of tobin’s ratio q by Jin and Jorion (2006). The scale used in measuring firm value is the ratio scale.
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2016. The sample of this research is all state-owned enterprises of financial and non financial sector listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange respectively from year 2013-2016. The method of selecting the research sample used in this study using purposive sampling. The selection criteria of this research sample as follows.

2. Companies that issue financial statements at the end of the fiscal year 31 December from 2013-2016.
3. Companies that generate financial reports in rupiah currency from 2013-2016.

Variable Operationalization

**The value of the company**

Company value is measured using tobin’s q ratio introduced by James Tobin (1969) which can better explain the performance of a company. The ratio of tobin’s q in measurement is replicated from Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014) using the measurement of tobin’s ratio q by Jin and Jorion (2006). The scale used in measuring firm value is the ratio scale.

\[ \text{Tobin's Q} = \frac{BV_{\text{total assets}} - BV_{\text{common equity}} + MV_{\text{common equity}}}{BV_{\text{total assets}}} \]

**Corporate Social Responsibility (X2)**

Corporate sustainability disclosure is measured by proxy Sustainability Reporting Disclosure Index with disclosure category which refers to GRI G4.0 guidelines with a total of 91 items. Index measurements are scored with criteria if the item is assigned a value of 1 and is not available given a value of 0. Sustainability Reporting Disclosure Index is calculated through the sum of all items disclosed by the company divided by the whole item. The scale used in measuring corporate sustainability disclosure is the nominal scale.

**Corporate Governance (X3)**

Corporate governance is measured by the proxy of corporate governance scoring index of disclosure categories based on the General Guidelines of Good Corporate Governance (2006) containing 16 categories containing 103 items. In measuring the index is done by providing scoring with the criteria for each available subindex given point 1 if fulfilled and 0 if not met. Corporate governance scoring index can be calculated by dividing the total score of corporate governance disclosure indicators with the overall corporate governance disclosure score. The scale used in measuring corporate governance is the nominal scale.

**Figure 1. Research Model**

- **Intellectual Capital (X1)**
- **Corporate Social Responsibility (X2)**
- **Corporate Governance (X3)**
- **The value of the company (Y)**
- **Leverage (X4)**
- **Company Size (X5)**

D = depreciation; A = amortization.

1. Determine the Value Added Capital Employed (VACA). VACA is a VA measurement produced by one unit of physical capital. VACA = VA / CE. CE = available funds derived from net income and equity.
2. Determine the Value Added Human Capital (VAHU). VAHU is a VA indicator generated from each dollar invested into HC. VAHU = VA / HC.
3. Determining Strutured Capital Value Added (STVA). STVA indicates the amount of SC that a company can use to generate a VA dollar. STVA = SC / VA. SC = VA - HC
4. Determine the Value Added Intellectual Coefficient (VAIC). VAIC is the sum of the three components that have been calculated before the VACA, VAHU, and STVA. VAIC = VACA + VAHU + STVA.
Leverage
The measured leverage measurement from Gherghina (2015) measures leverage through the proxy of debt to total equity ratio by comparing total debt and total equity of the firm. The scale used in measuring leverage is the ratio scale.

Company Size
The size of the company in its measurement is replicated from Moeljadi (2014) which measures firm size based on the total natural logarithm of the asset. The scale used in measuring firm size is the ratio scale.

Hypothesis testing
The multiple regression model used to test the hypothesis of this study is translated into linear function in the form of equation as follows.
VALUE = α + β1 IC + β2 CSD + β3 CG + β4 UK + β5 LEV

4. Result, Discussion, and Managerial Implication
Result
Data Presentation
Table 1 shows that there are 12 state-owned enterprises in the financial and non-financial sectors of 20 SOE companies selected to be research samples with a 4-year study period from 2013-2016, so that the total amount of data used in this research is 48 data. Table 2 shows the total data used as the sample in this study is 48 data. The value of the company in a state-owned enterprise measured by tobin’s q ratio has a minimum value of PT Bank Tabungan Negara Persero Tbk in 2013 of 1.0298 and maximum value in PT Semen Indonesia Persero Tbk in 2014 amounting to 3.7829. The average value of the company’s value in state-owned enterprises in 2013-2016 amounted to 1.7988. These data indicate that the average value of firms in state-owned companies in Indonesia is greater than 1 indicating the market rate of stock prices in the market for SOE companies is too high.

Intellectual capital is measured by the proxy of Value Added Intellectual Coefficient (VAIC) of BUMN companies having minimum value of 1.1798 in 2015 at PT Aneka Tambang Persero Tbk and maximum value at PT Semen Indonesia Persero Tbk in 2013 amounting to 9.5214. The average value of intellectual capital of state-owned enterprises in 2013-2016 amounted to 4.6106. This shows that the average intellectual capital in state-owned companies is high is greater than 1 indicating that state-owned enterprises have paid attention to the use of intellectual capital resources in support of the creation of corporate value.

Corporate sustainability disclosure is measured by Sustainability Reporting Disclosure Index proportion of SOE companies having a minimum value of 0.0769 at PT Adhi Karya Persero Tbk in 2013 and maximum value of PT Bukit Asam Persero Tbk in 2015 of 0.9670. The average corporate sustainability disclosure value of BUMN companies in 2013-2016 is 0.3784. This indicates that the average corporate sustainability disclosure rate of less than 50% indicates that the SOE company has not paid attention to the disclosure of corporate sustainability disclosure widely because of its mandatory disclosure and disclosure that have not effectively impacted firm value.

Corporate governance as measured by Corporate Gov-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description of Criteria</th>
<th>Number of Companies</th>
<th>Amount of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Financial and non-financial BUMN companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in a row from 2013-2016.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Companies that do not issue financial statements at the end of the December 31 fiscal year in a row from 2013-2016.</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Companies that do not issue financial statements in rupiah in a row from 2013-2016.</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Companies that do not disclose reporting of social responsibility activities based on GRI G4 respectively from 2013-2016.</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of samples 12 48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variabel</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.0298</td>
<td>3.7829</td>
<td>1.7988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.1798</td>
<td>9.5214</td>
<td>4.6106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSD</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
<td>0.9670</td>
<td>0.3784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7378</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.8847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29.7405</td>
<td>34.5767</td>
<td>31.8540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.2361</td>
<td>11.3958</td>
<td>2.9122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source : Output SPSS version 21.0
The Effect of Corporate Sustainability Disclosure on Corporate Value

Corporate sustainability disclosure coefficient is positive 0.243 and has sig value. of 0.286 which means greater than 0.05. This indicates that Ha2 is rejected which means corporate sustainability disclosure has no effect on company value. The results of this study are consistent with Gherghina and Vintila (2016), Kurniasari and Warastuti (2015), Moeljadi (2014), and Putu, et.al (2014) stating that corporate sustainability disclosure has a positive influence on firm value.

The influence of Corporate Governance on Corporate Value

Corporate governance coefficient is positive 0.202 and has sig value. of 0.441 which means greater than 0.05. This indicates that Ha3 is rejected which means corporate governance has no influence on company value. The results of this study are consistent with Haryono and Paminto (2015) which states that corporate governance has no influence on corporate value. The results of this study contradict the agency theory stating that corporate governance mechanisms can reduce the agency costs incurred by the company.

Company Size and Leverage to Corporate Value

The coefficient of firm size is negative at -0.023 and has sig value. amounting to 0.764, which means sig is greater than 0.05, which means that the size of the company has no influence on the value of the company. The coefficient of leverage is negative at -0.113 and has a sig value. of 0.000 which means less than 0.05, which means leverage has a negative effect on the value of the company.

Managerial Implication

Implementation of intellectual resource management, human resources, and structural capital that can be optimally utilized can help facilitate the company. Companies with high share demand in the fulfillment of all stakeholder interests can increase the value of the company.

The results of this study support the theory of legitimacy that states that companies that disclose information of social responsibility will gain legitimacy from society.

Table 3. T Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Koefisien</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Konstanta</td>
<td>-0.838</td>
<td>-0.506</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>Have no influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>3.995</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Have a positive influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSD</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>3.020</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Have a positive influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>2.246</td>
<td>1.461</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>Have no influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.302</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>Have no influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>-0.113</td>
<td>-4.073</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Have a negative influence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Output SPSS version 21.0
can increase the value of the company. Companies that have high corporate sustainability disclosure will encourage management to provide more detailed information and broadly related to social responsibility information so as to convince investors in increasing stock demand.

Corporate governance does not affect the value of the company because the company prioritizes on policies that provide more direct benefits for the company, so that managers do not attempt more broadly to increase productive activities in order to maximize corporate value through corporate governance disclosure. In addition, the company can not only conduct corporate governance policy due to its mandatory disclosure nature, but it is expected that the company can further enhance investor confidence further with the disclosure of strategic planning and policy information more effectively increase the company value.

5. Conclusion, Suggestion, and Limitations

Conclusion

1. Intellectual capital has a positive influence on firm value. The results of this study are consistent with Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014) and Nuryaman (2015).
2. Corporate sustainability disclosure has a positive effect on company value. The results of this study are consistent with Gherghina and Vintila (2016), Kurniasari and Warastuti (2015), Moeljadi (2014), and Putu, et al. (2014).
3. Corporate governance has no effect on corporate value. The results of this study are consistent with Haryono and Paminto (2015). The results of this study are not consistent with Moeljadi (2014) and Putu, et al. (2014).

Suggestion

1. For companies, should be able to apply reporting in an integrated manner in order to improve the rationalization of investment decisions made by investors.
2. For regulators, it should be possible to fully oblige public companies to implement sustainability reporting in the reporting of social responsibility activities based on GRI G.4 Guidelines to increase corporate value in the future.
3. For the government, it is better if all sectors of the State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) can increase the value of the company through comprehensive reporting in order to increase investor interest in investing in public sector companies.
4. For subsequent research, researchers can specialize in one sector of the company for the results of research can be more reliable and add other independent variables that can predict the value of a better company.

Research Limitations

1. Researchers examine the sector of state-owned enterprises with different business sectors that generalize the results of research.
2. The researcher uses three independent variables in predicting the dependent variable with adjusted r-square obtained at 66.1%. This shows that there are still 33.9% variation of dependent variables that can be explained by other independent variables.
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